

1 Remarks

2  
3 Election of Single Disclosed Invention

4 In the Office action, a restriction requirement has been imposed upon the  
5 Applicant under 35 U.S.C. 121. The Examiner contends that the application discloses  
6 two separate and unrelated inventions, wherein a first invention is embodied in claims 1-  
7 11, and a second invention is embodied in claims 12-18. Accordingly, the Applicant is  
8 required to elect a single disclosed invention for examination.

9 In response, the Applicant hereby elects without traverse the first invention as  
10 embodied in claims 1-11.

11  
12 Summary

13 The Applicant believes that this response constitutes a full and complete reply to  
14 the Office action.

15  
16 Respectfully submitted,

17 Carl S. Chow

18 Date: AUG. 22, 2003

19 By

Thomas A. Olson  
Thomas A. Olson  
Attorney and agent for Applicant  
Reg. No. 44,271  
Phone: (509) 327-4748

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
S/N: 09/905,579  
Case 10007750-1  
Election